

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,358	RYAN, GREGORY B.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ehud Gartenberg	3746	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Ehud Gartenberg.

(3) _____.

 (2) Adeel Akhtar.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2005
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1-45

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreement was reached on the Examiner's Amendment that defined the claimed invention over what was widely known and conventionally used in the prior art, and also better defined the claimed invention in view of the requirements of 35USC112, 2nd paragraph. .